MOTECTION
or Ver
FLORIDA
Constant of the local diversion of

SURFACE COATING OPERATIONS



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:				
AIRS ID#: 0830049 DATE: 12/14/2011 ARRIVE: DEPART: FACILITY NAME: NOBILITY HOMES INC FACILITY LOCATION: 3741 SW 7th Street OCALA OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: JOHN CRAMER PHONE: Email: Mobile: CONTACT NAME: PHONE: Email: Mobile: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 4/20/2007 / 4/20/2012 (effective date) (end date)				
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☐ only one box) □ IN COMPLIANCE □ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE □ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE PART II: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (check ☐ appropriate box(es)) 1. Does the facility operate any emissions units other than the surface coating operations and emissions units which are exempt from permitting pursuant to the criteria of paragraph 62-210.300(3)(a) or (b), F.A.C., or				
 have been exempted from permitting under Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.? (Rule 62-210.300(3)(c)4.a., F.A.C.) □Yes □ No 2. Does the owner/operator of the facility maintain records to document the VOC content of the coatings and the quantity of the coatings used?				
PART III: CONTROL/OPERATING/MAINTANANCE REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Is/Are the surface coating operation(s) subject to a VOC Reasonably Available Control Technology (RACT) emission limiting standard of Chapter 62-296.500, F.A.C.? (Rule 62-210.300(3)(c)4.b., F.A.C.) □Yes ☑No 2. Does the facility cause, suffer, allow or permit the discharge of air pollutants which cause or contribute to an objectionable odor? (Rule 62.296.320(2), F.A.C.) □Yes ☑No				

PART III: <u>CONTROL/OPERATING/MAINTENANCE REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. – (continued)

(check \square appropriate box(es))

3.	Does the owner/operator encourage pollution prevention through such measures as training employees
	involved in surface coating operations on methods of reducing VOC emissions by:

a) maintaining spray coating equipment to ensure effective application with a minimum of overspray?	🛛 Yes 📋 No
b) monitoring the coating thickness to avoid excessive coating?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
c) considering the use of low-VOC coatings (e.g., waterborne, ultra-violet cured, or powder coatings)?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
d) implementing inventory control practices to prevent spillage?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
e) implementing management practices to reduce VOC emissions during cleanup by:	
1. spraying light colored coatings before dark colored coatings to reduce the number of cleaning	
cycles?	Yes 🗌 No
2) recycling cleaning solvents?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
3) using water based cleaners?	Yes No

PART IV: <u>SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. A. <u>New or Modified Process Equipment</u>		
1. Since the last inspection has there been		
a) installation of any new process equipment?	Yes	No
b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?	Yes	No
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most recent notification form?	Yes	No
d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete		
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, F.A.C.) to the appropriate DEP or local program office?	□Yes	\Box No

Sangeeta Sharma

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: Ms. Sangeeta Sharma inspected this facility on 12/14/2011. Ms. Sharma talked to Mr. John Cramer and walked around the facility. Required documents were submitted to the department on 12/21/2011. So the facility is in compliance.

12/14/2011 Date of Inspection